

NET-ZERO SOLUTIONS AND RESEARCH PRIORITIES IN THE 2020S

KEY MESSAGES

- **Technological, societal and nature-based** solutions should work together to enable systemic change towards a regenerative society, and to deliver net-zero greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions.
- **Prioritise research into efficient**, low-carbon and carbon-negative solutions for sectors that are difficult to decarbonise; i.e. energy storage, road transport, shipping, aviation and grid infrastructure.
- **Each solution should be assessed** with respect to GHG emissions reductions, energy efficiency and societal implications to provide a basis for developing long-term policies, maximising positive impact of investment and research effort, and guiding industry investors in safe and responsible planning.

INTRODUCTION

To meet the UK's 2050 net-zero target, technological, societal, and nature-based solutions, innovative business models, regulatory arrangements, and new incentives to change behaviours will be required to reduce carbon emissions in all sectors of our economy and society. This briefing sets out a vision of plausible net-zero solutions and research priorities across different sectors to highlight, based on the available evidence, what we already know and what still requires further research. We then specify actions and co-benefits resulting from these solutions. The briefing focusses on innovations for this decade across eight sectors, as outlined in the Climate Change Committee's (CCC) net-zero report,¹ in no particular order.

SECTORAL SOLUTIONS

I. ELECTRICITY GENERATION, STORAGE, SYSTEM AND NETWORKS:

A. Generation

What we know: Technological forecasts indicate that renewable energy prices will soon be lower than fossil fuels.^{2,3} The cost of new offshore wind energy has fallen by over 50% since 2015, and is now one of the lowest cost options for new power in the UK.⁴ Extrapolation of current trends suggests the green energy transition can be accomplished in two decades for cheaper than business-as-usual, subject to the emergence of supporting technologies (e.g. storage) and infrastructures (e.g. network flexibility).⁵

Briefing authors:

Daniel Ainalis, University of Cambridge; Ronita Bardhan, University of Cambridge; Keith Bell, University of Strathclyde; David Cebon, University of Cambridge; Mike Czerniak, University of Bristol; J. Doyne Farmer, University of Oxford; Shaun Fitzgerland, University of Cambridge; Krzysztof Galkowski, University of Cambridge; Samuel Grimshaw, University of Cambridge; Gavin Harper, University of Birmingham; Hugh Hunt, University of Cambridge; Neil Jennings, Imperial College; Srinivasan Keshav, University of Cambridge; Erik Mackie, University of Cambridge; Mercedes Maroto-Valer, Heriot-Watt University; Eleni Michalopoulou, University of York; David Reay, University of Edinburgh; Nathalie Seddon, University of Oxford; Stephen M. Smith, University of Oxford; Tristan Smith, University College of London; Kate Simpson, Imperial College; Samuel D Stranks, University of Cambridge; Elizabeth M. Tennyson, University of Cambridge; Taylor Uekert, University of Cambridge; Maria Vera-Morales, University of Cambridge; James Woodcock, University of Cambridge.

What to research: (i) Thin film solar cell materials which offer new form factors and installation paradigms, e.g. multi-junction photovoltaics (PV);⁶⁻⁸ (ii) how to design wind turbines to provide flexible electrical output within the constraints of blades, turbines, towers, etc.; (iii) low-cost ways of providing flexibility from gas plants with carbon capture and storage; (iv) how to reduce cost of floating offshore wind; (v) improve robustness of tidal stream turbines and develop new technologies, e.g. floating offshore wind, wave and tidal; (vi) feasibility of small modular nuclear reactors; (vii) cumulative impacts of (marine) renewable energy on natural capital and ecosystem services, and options to monitor and mitigate them.

Actions to take now:

- Accelerate commercialisation, uptake and production of thin-film PV, e.g. perovskites.^{9,10}
- Scaling promising renewable electricity generation technologies, ensuring diversity in energy sources.

B. Storage

What we know: Energy storage offers grid flexibility and can curb intermittency issues. Technologies that offer such storage include pumped hydroelectricity, cryogenic (liquid air), compressed air, grid-scale batteries, and thermal inertial of the heat network.

What to research: (i) Technologies with high round-trip efficiencies (>60%; e.g. cryogenic, compressed air, and batteries); (ii) methods to reduce the cost and water consumption of electrolyzers; (iii) increase the efficiency of photocatalytic hydrogen production; (iv) hydrogen storage; (v) heat storage with high energy density; (vi) alternative materials for batteries; (vii) ways to determine storage capacity demand.

Actions to take now:

- Develop policy framework to ensure a level playing field and long-term competitive market and scale up for efficient grid-scale electricity storage.
- Replace the main mode of hydrogen production, methane steam reforming, with electrolyzers driven by renewable energy.

C. System Planning and Operation

What we know: New investment mechanisms must be developed such that energy production and storage facilities are satisfying whole-system needs across sectors. Variability of wind and solar power presents system operation challenges: a large part of the generation mix is made of small-scale installations connected to the distribution network. This, and other distributed resources, (e.g. electric vehicle charging) influence the day-ahead/real-time markets and contribute to stable system operation.¹¹

What to research: (i) Defining the controls of power electronic converters to provide stable power system operation;¹² (ii) new decision support tools based on advanced optimisation techniques; (iii) impact of climate change on renewable energy generation.

Actions to take now:

- Incentivise grid flexibility: meet (reduce) peak demand; flex production/consumption aligned with wind and solar variation; respond quickly to faults and contain electricity system frequency variations.
- Incentivise relevant sizing of storage/network capacity and place resources where they reduce the cost via market price signals.

D. Networks

What we know: The UK needs electricity network reinforcement or, in the case of offshore wind, an entirely new network. The electricity network needs to be capable of operating in a safe and stable manner with many of the generators and loads on it connected via power electronics.

What to research: (i) how to increase the capacity of High Voltage Direct Current (HVDC) converters and cables and facilitate operation on multi-terminal networks covering large areas, both nationally and internationally¹³ (e.g. HVDC interconnections spanning latitudes for transfer of solar); (ii) stress on the grid due to climate change.

Actions to take now:

- Coordinate responsibilities of transmission system and distribution network operators and develop network protection methods for both AC and DC.
- Develop frameworks under which decisions can be taken on building hydrogen network capacity.

Co-benefits: (i) Beyond the electrical grid, lightweight, flexible solar modules,¹⁴ realise new high power-to-weight-ratio applications e.g. electric vehicles or aerial delivery; (ii) widespread utilisation of hydrogen may reduce CO₂ emissions (boosting air quality) and add jobs.¹⁵

2. BUILDINGS:

A. New Builds

What we know: The built environment contributes to ~40% of UK emissions.¹⁶ Of this, ~77% is operational, and 23% embodied in new assets from construction activity.¹⁷ Heating contributes to 18% of UK emissions,¹⁸ with 15% of total UK GHGs attributable to heating homes.¹⁹ Reducing energy consumption for heating/cooling is critical, and now possible. High latitude regions provide opportunities for night cooling in summer.

Thermally massive buildings can meet cooling net-zero requirements if natural ventilation is incorporated into the design. Heating can be reduced if build quality (e.g. insulation) is improved and high-efficiency mechanical ventilation heat recovery units are used. Required heating can be zero carbon via heat pumps, district heating, or potentially hydrogen. A systems approach is required to deliver efficient buildings within budget constraints and suited to the local environment with clear regulations.²⁰

What to research: (i) How to ensure that low-carbon buildings are affordable and accessible to all communities, whilst mitigating the risks of economic burdens; (ii) how to create a zero carbon building for the lowest cost based on life cycle analysis and factoring in embodied carbon; (iii) how to equip teams to collaborate and deliver buildings that meet design targets; (iv) Match energy demand in buildings with energy supply through decarbonised electricity via heat pumps and district heat networks.

Actions to take now:

- Implement consistent policy around operational and embodied carbon and ensure opportunity for construction teams to understand the new standards.
- Simplify building regulations for practitioners.
- Encourage a systems approach to building design such that they work with the local climate and transport emissions.
- Incentivise local supply chains to work together to deliver zero emissions at scale.
- Ensure all surveyors know if build quality is in accord with standards.

B. Retrofits

What we know: The majority of buildings existing in 2050 are already built. Therefore, retrofit measures are needed to decarbonise buildings (reducing overheating risk and ensuring flood protection, when appropriate). The rate of building retrofits needs to increase to reduce energy demand and ensure affordable, comfortable homes for all.²⁰ Housing, contributing ~1/3 of the building sector emissions, is a challenging sector to decarbonise due to the multiple owners and private nature. Reframing energy efficiency as infrastructure may stimulate policy and long-term plans, supporting new business models.²¹

What to research: (i) Retrofit is interdisciplinary but engineering has dominated the field.²² A systems approach with consideration of social and natural impacts of infrastructure transitions is needed;²³ (ii) databases on impacts and co-benefits from retrofits.²⁴

Actions to take now:

- Long-term framework which addresses statutory carbon targets and triggers investment confidence by business and owners.¹⁶
- Encourage re-use of buildings.
- Reduce VAT rates on products suitable for retrofit in existing buildings.²⁵
- Engage practitioners, academics, policy makers and home owners to co-create.²⁶
- Work with local organisations and share building energy efficiency databases.²⁶

Co-benefits: (i) Physical and mental health improvement of householders reduces health-related costs, fuel poverty, inequality, and improves job creation/productivity;^{27,28} (ii) Housing retrofits planned to protect householders against unintentional health risks²⁹ (e.g. indoor air pollution³⁰ or overheating³¹).

3. ROAD TRANSPORT:

A. Travel Demand Management

What we know: Meeting the energy needs of transportation from zero carbon sources will be made easier by reducing distance driven, vehicle weight per passenger, private ownership, and freight demand, and by providing reliable, rapid charging infrastructure for electric vehicles (EVs).

What to research: (i) Effectiveness of policies to reduce vehicle ownership/use; (ii) overcoming rebound effects (e.g. increased deliveries without vehicle ownership or longer trips with home working).

Actions to take now:

- Stop the road building programme, instead building strategic cycle networks and public transport.³²
- Effective land use planning to produce dense, mixed use, and attractive low traffic neighbourhoods that prioritise walking and cycling.³³
- Incentivise alternatives to private car ownership (e.g. car-pooling, car clubs, public transport).
- Encourage coordination of home delivery services to reduce duplication, traffic and carbon emissions.
- Remove barriers to electrification of urban delivery vehicles (including charging depots).

B. Electric Light Vehicles

What we know: Electrification of passenger vehicles is increasing rapidly, but not fast enough. The UK government has committed to phasing out the sale of new petrol and diesel cars and vans by 2030, and to end the sale of new hybrid cars and vans by 2035.³⁴

What to research: (i) Charge-at-home for residents of apartment complexes or terraced homes. (ii) Alternative charging options and how chargers can couple different sectors, affecting network and traffic.

Actions to take now:

- Widespread public and private charging infrastructure to support the EV uptake.
- Plan motor taxes to replace fuel duty.

C. Heavy Good Vehicles (HGVs)

What we know: Three power options: (i) electrification with small battery packs and a national 'Electric Road System' (ERS), (ii) hydrogen fuel cells, (iii) biofuels.

What to research: (i) Hydrogen fuel cells in HGVs; (ii) a robust economic case for infrastructure providers, fleet operators, and HM Treasury; (iii) operational performance for freight transport and logistics; (iv) natural resource consumption, including conflict materials (platinum, cobalt), and land use change.

Actions to take now:

- Trials of ERS and hydrogen fuel cell vehicles, commissioned for the early 2020s.³⁵
- Evaluate energy requirements e.g. cost, storage, safety, durability and resilience.
- Integrate transport and energy networks.

Co-benefits: (i) Public health benefits and reducing health inequalities through physical activity; (ii) reductions in air pollution,^{36,37} (iii) reduction of road-related injuries/fatalities.³⁸

4. INDUSTRY:

A. Carbon Capture, Utilisation and Storage (CCUS)

What we know: CCUS is required to achieve industrial decarbonisation by 2050. The CCUS Cost Challenge Taskforce³⁹ and the CCC⁴⁰ recommend CCUS development/deployment in energy-intensive industries to scale up and reduce costs. The UK Industrial Decarbonisation Challenge⁴¹ aims to establish at least one low-carbon cluster by 2030 and the world's first net-zero industrial cluster by 2040.⁴²

What to research: (i) CCUS industry deployment needs to accelerate but barriers exist: implementing a systems approach that integrates cluster level engineering and environmental/technical solutions with perspectives on economic, behavioural, policy.

Actions to take now:

- Deploy CCUS at scale by mid-2020s to fortify the first low-carbon and net-zero industrial clusters (i.e. hub of high-energy use industrial sites).
- Deliver a multidisciplinary research and innovation programme to support CCUS.
- Establish business models and frameworks to support CCUS commercialisation.
- Assess the skills required to develop a workforce to deliver industrial decarbonisation.

B. Energy and Resource Efficiency

What we know: Energy efficiency improvements in industrial processes can result in ~15-20% reduction in fuel usage and CO₂ emissions.⁴³ Increasing circularity of materials, including reuse, recycling and replacement, will reduce production of virgin materials and lower CO₂ emissions.⁴³ Decarbonisation will require many technologies reliant on critical materials and technology metals, which the UK does not have secure access to.⁴⁴

What to research: (i) Integration between industrial sites to optimise energy/resource use; (ii) the potential for industrial synergy, i.e. circular economy at industrial cluster level; (iii) new primary supplies of critical materials and technology metals.

Actions to take now:

- Determine technical, environmental and economic benefits of industrial symbiosis clusters.
- Establish frameworks and business models to incentivise technology deployment and commercialisation to reduce energy demands.
- Develop new technologies for improving energy/resource efficiency, e.g. digital.
- Deliver a knowledge-sharing platform between sectors to share best practices.
- Develop new approaches to the reuse and recycling of technologies containing critical materials.⁴⁴

C. Fluorinated-gases (F-gases)

What we know: F-gases are strong, artificial⁴⁵ GHGs regulated under the Montreal and Kyoto Protocols. Key sources include: refrigeration, cooling, and aluminium/magnesium and rare-earth smelting industries.⁴⁶ There are some existing alternatives to mitigate F-gases emissions (e.g. refrigerants).⁴⁷

What to research: (i) Discrepancies between bottom-up and top-down inventories, better monitoring and quantifying of emissions; (ii) improvements in existing technologies (e.g. decarbonising anodes for aluminium production, replacement gases); (iii) explore mitigation options and alternatives, extensive Life Cycle Analysis for rising technologies; (iv) extend abatement technologies beyond semiconductors to aluminium and rare earth manufacture.

Actions to take now:

- Ensure the Kigali Amendment is ratified, implemented, and countries' commitments are monitored and reported.
- Promote and enhance legislation to control and reduce F-gases.⁵⁵
- Increase awareness and visibility of the F-gases group, they are unknown to the public.
- Support industrial governance processes that display F-gases reduction practices.

Co-benefits: (i) Reducing emissions from shipping and transport of materials; (ii) new cooling approaches can improve air quality, energy efficiency and decarbonise energy supply.⁵⁶

5. LAND/SEA USE AND AGRICULTURE:

What we know: Strategies for emission reductions include: nature-based solutions (NbS, see Box), low-carbon farming practices (including landscapes designed to store carbon at scale), shifting towards more plant-based diets, and reduced food wastage.

What to research: (i) How changing dietary advice and costs alter demand, and the just transition implications of such changes;⁵⁷ (ii) social and economic studies to complement technical feasibility assessments; (iii) robust assessments of the suitability of land- and sea-based approaches to carbon sequestration from the local to regional scale, ensuring balance with other land and sea use demands such as food production;⁵⁸ (iv) crop and animal breeding innovations; (v) feed additives (e.g. efficacy for different production systems); (vi) soil amendments (e.g. biochar, enhanced weathering); (vii) how to enhance monitoring and reporting skills; (viii) how changes in food production and land use affect resources required in other sectors (e.g. water) and downstream coastal and marine systems; (ix) circularity and resilience of food production systems (integrated crops/livestock); (x) impact of land and sea use decisions, including bioenergy crop production, and trade-offs with other ecosystem services.⁵⁹

NATURE-BASED SOLUTIONS

Nature-based solutions (NbS)⁴⁸⁻⁵⁰ are actions that involve working with nature to address societal goals, including addressing climate change and biodiversity loss, whilst supporting economic recovery and tackling tangibly a specific environmental problem.⁴⁸ NbS broadly involve the protection, restoration and connection of native habitats, sustainable management of working lands and seas, and creating new habitats in urban areas and across the broader landscape and seascape. There is substantive evidence^{48,51} for NbS to cost-effectively support climate change adaptation via flood protection, erosion control, air/water quality regulation and urban cooling, while reducing sources and increasing sinks of GHGs.⁵² Properly implemented, NbS can also enhance and sustain biodiversity while supporting a wide range of sustainable development goals.

We urge policymakers, practitioners and researchers to consider four guiding principles to enable NbS:^{53,54}

- 1) NbS are not a substitute for the rapid phase-out of fossil fuels and should not delay decarbonising the economy;
- 2) NbS involve protection, restoration and/or management of a wide range of land and marine ecosystems (not just forests);
- 3) NbS are designed, implemented, managed and monitored by or in partnership with Indigenous peoples and local communities through a process that fully respects and champions local rights and knowledge, generating local benefits;
- 4) NbS support or enhance biodiversity, that is, the diversity of life from the level of the gene to the level of the ecosystem.

By considering its full range of synergies and trade-offs, with local people and biodiversity at the core, we can design robust and resilient NbS that sustain both nature and people with tangible socio-economic benefits (e.g. health benefits).

Actions to take now:

- Invest in research to support land and sea use change decision making at the field, farm and landscape scales (e.g. carbon modelling).
- Increase investment in R&D focussed on bringing low-carbon farming practices to market rapidly.
- Assess dietary advice and its alignment with the net-zero transition, to inform updated advice.
- Enhance capacity for land and sea-based research (e.g. interdisciplinary responses to sustainable land management and transition challenges).
- Address land-based workforce capacity gap.

Co-benefits: (i) Increased biodiversity; (ii) higher social and ecological resilience; (iii) more productive land use; (iv) healthier downstream ecosystems; (v) better diets and improved health.⁶⁰

6. AVIATION AND SHIPPING:

A. Aviation

What we know: The decarbonisation of flight is possible, yet the scale of the problem is immense. The challenge requires a holistic optimisation of technology, infrastructure, operations, behaviour, demand management, economics and policy.

What to research: Three main technology pathways: (i) Battery-powered aircraft, however, current technologies limit their use to regional and sub-regional markets; (ii) hydrogen fuel offers zero carbon emissions, but the space required to accommodate the fuel tanks reduces payload and range. Other concerns include contrail production, storage and safety; (iii) sustainable aviation fuels can be dropped into existing aircrafts, however their production cost is at least twice as much as the other two pathways,^{61,62} and are also limited by the energy required to produce them and the sustainable sourcing of feedstock.

Actions to take now:

- Investment in all three technology pathways is urgently required; a roadmap for fuel delivery, scale up, and infrastructure requirements is needed.
- Identify and implement suitable carbon pricing schemes, carbon caps and regulation to incentivise low carbon travel.

B. Shipping

What we know: The UK is a maritime leader in the green ammonia supply chain, with an internationally competitive advantage from being an early adopter, but efficiency improvements are insufficient.

Ports could be a hot spot for new electrification and hydrogen use and are a key node for freight vehicles.

What to research: (i) Battery and hybrid-powered vessels for short-sea shipping; (ii) green/blue ammonia from hydrogen is the front-runner future fuel for over 90% of shipping globally. Trials of its use in marine machinery show green ammonia supply chains are rapidly gaining investment internationally;

Actions to take now:

- Displace current fossil fuel consumption with a mixture of electrification for short distances (e.g. ferries) and biofuels.

7. WASTE:

What we know: Two billion tonnes of waste is generated each year, likely to double by 2050.⁶³ Currently, waste management accounts for 5% of GHG emissions,⁶³ yet the environmental impact of producing waste is higher. Moving towards a circular economy, i.e. reducing waste before it is generated, would lower the 45% of global CO₂ emissions linked to goods and food production,⁶⁴ and pollution.⁶⁵

What to research: (i) Improve on existing landfill (CH₄ capture/use), composting, anaerobic digestion, incineration (capture CO₂ and toxins), recycling (sorting/labelling) and water treatment processes; (ii) investigate novel technologies such as chemical and biological recycling and waste-to-energy; (iii) safe disassembly and recycling of electronic waste (e.g. PVs and lithium-ion batteries); (iv) strategies for eliminating waste (e.g. new business models, design products to be more circular economy compatible); (v) trade-offs and efficacy of different behavioural interventions, including education, policy, product design; (vi) use of organic waste for manufacturing.

Actions to take now:

- Update waste management facilities (CH₄ capture and sensors for plastic sorting) and embed in product design practices.
- Provide economic incentives for recycling, standardise the “biodegradable” label for plastics and promote further education/awareness on reducing waste and increasing recycling.
- Mandate producer responsibility schemes, banning unnecessary materials (e.g. single-use plastics).

Co-benefits: (i) Lessen the health and social impacts of waste management and pollution;⁶⁶ (ii) promote sustainable systems for using/reusing materials.^{65,67}

8. GREENHOUSE GAS REMOVAL (GGR):

What we know: GGR encompasses capture and sequestration of GHGs from the air. To achieve net zero, GGR likely plays a role in balancing emissions which are difficult to stop completely.^{1,68} Removal is vital for countries choosing to go “net negative”. GGR techniques include both biological GGR, such as bioenergy with carbon capture and storage (BECCS) and afforestation, as well as NbS (see Box). BECCS could deliver GGR at a significant level and provide energy, chemicals, materials or fuel.⁶⁹ However, the GGR potential of different BECCS applications varies considerably, and BECCS is land intensive so could have negative impacts on biodiversity and food production if taken to scale. Other more technological approaches to GGR include direct-air CCS and mineralisation, but these are currently expensive and rely on infrastructure to transport and store CO₂.^{48,70}

What to research: (i) How to balance CO₂ removal with achieving other sustainable development goals; (ii) sustainable biomass resource use; (iii) improved technological methods for CO₂ capture and storage; (iv) non-CO₂ capture; (v) innovative GGR methods that link carbon sequestration to CO₂ utilisation.

Actions to take now:

- Innovation support for new GGR technologies and incentives for deployment.
- Focus on reducing emissions across all economic sectors, emphasising the near-term, and innovating/piloting new technologies for removal and hard-to-treat emissions.
- Secure sustainable finance flows to scale up biodiversity-based community-led NbS.

Co-benefits: NbS can include: (i) increased biodiversity; (ii) higher social and ecological resilience; (iii) more productive land use.

REFERENCES

1. Net Zero – The UK’s Contribution to Stopping Global Warming. *Climate Change Committee*, 2019.
2. Way, R.; Mealy, P.; Farmer, J. D. Estimating the Costs of Energy Transition Scenarios Using Probabilistic Forecasting Methods. 118.
3. IRENA. Renewable Power Generation Costs in 2019. International Renewable Energy Agency, 2020. www.irena.org/publications/2020/Jun/Renewable-Power-Costs-in-2019
4. The Sixth Carbon Budget – The UK’s Path to Net Zero. Climate Change Committee, 2020.
5. IRENA. Global Energy Transformation: A Roadmap to 2050. 2019, 76.
6. Stranks, S. How a new solar and lighting technology could propel a renewable energy transformation <http://theconversation.com/how-a-new-solar-and-lighting-technology-could-propel-a-renewable-energy-transformation-133658>
7. Perovskite on silicon tandem solar cell technology. Oxford PV. www.oxfordpv.com/perovskite-silicon-tandem
8. Leijtens, T.; Bush, K. A.; Prasanna, R.; McGehee, M. D. Opportunities and Challenges for Tandem Solar Cells Using Metal Halide Perovskite Semiconductors. *Nature Energy* 2018, 3 (10), 828–838.
9. Hoyer, R.L.Z, Stranks, S.D., Khripko, D.,. Materials for the Energy Transition Roadmap: Materials for Photovoltaic Systems. Henry Royce Institute September 2020.
10. A Breakthrough Approaches for Solar Power. *BBC News*. April 30, 2020.
11. Bell, K.; Gill, S. Delivering a Highly Distributed Electricity System: Technical, Regulatory and Policy Challenges. *Energy Policy* 2018, 113, 765–777.
12. Paolone, M.; Gaunt, T.; Guillaud, X.; Liserre, M.; Meliopoulos, S.; Monti, A.; Van Cutsem, T.; Vittal, V.; Vournas, C. Fundamentals of Power Systems Modelling in the Presence of Converter-Interfaced Generation. *Electric Power Systems Research* 2020, 189, 106811.
13. Bell, K. R. W.; Xu, L.; Houghton, T. Considerations in Design of an Offshore Network. *CIGRE Science and Engineering* 2015, 1, 79–92.
14. Powell, D. M.; Fu, R.; Horowitz, K.; Basore, P. A.; Woodhouse, M.; Buonassisi, T. The Capital Intensity of Photovoltaics Manufacturing: Barrier to Scale and Opportunity for Innovation. *Energy Environ. Sci.* 2015, 8 (12), 3395–3408.
15. Hydrogen roadmap Europe: a sustainable pathway for the European energy transition. European Union Publications Office, 2019 <http://op.europa.eu/en/publication-detail/-/publication/0817d60d-332f-11e9-8d04-01aa75ed71a1>
16. CLC Statement on Net Zero Carbon and the Climate Emergency. Construction Leadership Council, 2020.
17. Giesekam, J.; Pomponi, F. Briefing: Embodied Carbon Dioxide Assessment in Buildings: Guidance and Gaps. *Proceedings of the Institution of Civil Engineers – Engineering Sustainability* 2018, 171 (7), 334–341.
18. Reducing UK Emissions: 2020 Progress Report to Parliament. Climate Change Committee, 2020.
19. UK Housing: Fit for the Future? Climate Change Committee, 2019.
20. Bergman, N.; Foxon, T. J. Reframing Policy for the Energy Efficiency Challenge: Insights from Housing Retrofits in the United Kingdom. *Energy Research and Social Science* 2020, 63, 101386.

21. Energy performance of buildings directive. European Commission. https://ec.europa.eu/energy/topics/energy-efficiency/energy-efficient-buildings/energy-performance-buildings-directive_en
22. Simpson, K. Energy Efficiency Refurbishment of UK Owner-Occupied Homes: The Household's Perspective. 389.
23. Whyte, J.; Mijic, A.; Myers, R.; Angeloudis, P.; Cardin, M.-A.; Stettler, M.; Ochieng, W. A Research Agenda on Systems Approaches to Infrastructure. *Civil Engineering and Environmental Systems* 2020.
24. Simpson, K.; Whyte, J.; Childs, P. Data-Centric Innovation in Retrofit: A Bibliometric Review of Dwelling Retrofit across North Western Europe. *Energy and Buildings* 2020, 229, 110474.
25. Hurst, W. Introducing RetroFirst: A New AJ Campaign Championing Reuse in the Built Environment. *The Architects' Journal*, 2019.
26. Wade, F.; Bush, R.; Webb, J. Emerging Linked Ecologies for a National Scale Retrofitting Programme: The Role of Local Authorities and Delivery Partners. *Energy Policy* 2020, 137, 111179.
27. Smith, A. C.; Holland, M.; Korkeala, O.; Warmington, J.; Forster, D.; ApSimon, H.; Oxley, T.; Dickens, R.; Smith, S. M. Health and Environmental Co-Benefits and Conflicts of Actions to Meet UK Carbon Targets. *Climate Policy* 2016, 16 (3), 253–283.
28. Jennings, N.; Fecht, D.; Matteis, S. D. Mapping the Co-Benefits of Climate Change Action to Issues of Public Concern in the UK: A Narrative Review. *The Lancet Planetary Health* 2020, 4 (9), e424–e433.
29. Wilkinson, P., Smith, K. R., Davies, M., Adair, H., Armstrong, B. G., Barrett, M., Bruce, N., Haines, A., Hamilton, I., Oreszczyn, T., Ridley, I., Tonne, C., and Chalabi, Z. Public Health Benefits of Strategies to Reduce Greenhouse-Gas Emissions: Household Energy – The Lancet. 2009.
30. Vardoulakis, S.; Dear, K.; Wilkinson, P. Challenges and Opportunities for Urban Environmental Health and Sustainability: The HEALTHY-POLIS Initiative. *Environmental Health* 2016, 15 (1), S30.
31. Taylor, J.; Mavrogianni, A.; Davies, M.; Das, P.; Shrubsole, C.; Biddulph, P.; Oikonomou, E. Understanding and Mitigating Overheating and Indoor PM2.5 Risks Using Coupled Temperature and Indoor Air Quality Models: *Building Services Engineering Research and Technology* 2015.
32. Brand, C.; Götschi, T.; Dons, E.; Gerike, R.; Anaya-Boig, E.; Avila-Palencia, I.; de Nazelle, A.; Gascon, M.; Gaupp-Berghausen, M.; Iacorossi, F.; Kahlmeier, S.; Int Panis, L.; Racioppi, F.; Rojas-Rueda, D.; Standaert, A.; Stigell, E.; Sulikova, S.; Wegener, S.; Nieuwenhuijsen, M. J. The Climate Change Mitigation Impacts of Active Travel: Evidence from a Longitudinal Panel Study in Seven European Cities. *Global Environmental Change* 2021, 67, 102224.
33. Aldred, R.; Goodman, A. Low Traffic Neighbourhoods, Car Use, and Active Travel: Evidence from the People and Places Survey of Outer London Active Travel Interventions. *Transport Findings* 2020.
34. Government Takes Historic Step towards Net-Zero with End of Sale of New Petrol and Diesel Cars by 2030. GOV.UK.
35. D.T. Ainalis, C. Thorne, and D. Cebon, D. Decarbonising the UK's Long-Haul Road Freight at Minimum Economic Cost. *The Centre For Sustainable Road Freight*, 2020.
36. Tainio, M.; de Nazelle, A. J.; Götschi, T.; Kahlmeier, S.; Rojas-Rueda, D.; Nieuwenhuijsen, M. J.; de Sá, T. H.; Kelly, P.; Woodcock, J. Can Air Pollution Negate the Health Benefits of Cycling and Walking? *Preventive Medicine* 2016, 87, 233–236.
37. Tonne, C.; Milà, C.; Fecht, D.; Alvarez, M.; Gulliver, J.; Smith, J.; Beevers, S.; Ross Anderson, H.; Kelly, F. Socioeconomic and Ethnic Inequalities in Exposure to Air and Noise Pollution in London. *Environment International* 2018, 115, 170–179.
38. Woodcock, J.; Givoni, M.; Morgan, A. S. Health Impact Modelling of Active Travel Visions for England and Wales Using an Integrated Transport and Health Impact Modelling Tool (ITHIM). *PLOS ONE* 2013, 8 (1), e51462.
39. Delivering Clean Growth: CCUS Cost Challenge Taskforce Report. UK Government, 2018.
40. Sectoral Scenarios for the Fifth Carbon Budget. Climate Change Committee, 2015.
41. What is the Industrial Clusters Mission? UK Government, 2019. https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/803086/industrial-clusters-mission-infographic-2019.pdf
42. Energy white paper: Powering our net zero future. UK Government, 2020. www.gov.uk/government/publications/energy-white-paper-powering-our-net-zero-future
43. de Pee, A.; Pinner, D.; Roelofsen, O.; Somers, K.; Speelman, E.; Witteveen, M. Decarbonization of Industrial Sectors: The next Frontier. *McKinsey global institute* 2018, 66.
44. Walton A.; Anderson, P.A.; Harper, G.D.J.; Beddington, J.; Mann, V., et al. *Securing Technology-Critical Metals for Britain: Ensuring the United Kingdom's Supply of Strategic Elements and Critical Materials for a Clean Future*; University of Birmingham: Birmingham, 2021.
45. Mühle, J.; Ganesan, A. L.; Miller, B. R.; Salameh, P. K.; Harth, C. M.; Grealley, B. R.; Rigby, M.; Porter, L. W.; Steele, L. P.; Trudinger, C. M.; Krümmel, P. B.; O'Doherty, S.; Fraser, P. J.; Simmonds, P. G.; Prinn, R. G.; Weiss, R. F. Perfluorocarbons in the Global Atmosphere: Tetrafluoromethane, Hexafluoroethane, and Octafluoropropane. *Atmos. Chem. Phys.* 2010, 10 (11), 5145–5164.
46. Overview of Greenhouse Gases. US EPA. www.epa.gov/ghgemissions/overview-greenhouse-gases
47. Doing Cold Smarter: Policy Commission Report. University of Birmingham, 2015. www.birmingham.ac.uk/research/energy/policy/cold/policy-commission-launch.aspx
48. Seddon, N.; Daniels, E.; Davis, R.; Chausson, A.; Harris, R.; Hou-Jones, X.; Huq, S.; Kapos, V.; Mace, G. M.; Rizvi, A. R.; Reid, H.; Roe, D.; Turner, B.; Wicander, S. Global Recognition of the Importance of Nature-Based Solutions to the Impacts of Climate Change. *Global Sustainability* 2020, 3.

49. Austin, W.; Cohen, F.; Coomes, D.; Fairbrass, A.; Hanley, N.; Lewis, S.; Luque-Lora, R.; Malhi, Y.; Savaresi, A.; Seddon, N.; Smith, A.; Wheeler, C. *Nature-Based Solutions for Climate Change, People and Biodiversity*; COP26 Universities Network Briefing; 2021.
50. Seddon, N.; Chausson, A.; Berry, P.; Girardin, C. A. J.; Smith, A.; Turner, B. Understanding the Value and Limits of Nature-Based Solutions to Climate Change and Other Global Challenges. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences* 2020, 375 (1794), 20190120.
51. Chausson, A.; Turner, B.; Seddon, D.; Chabaneix, N.; Girardin, C. A. J.; Kapos, V.; Key, I.; Roe, D.; Smith, A.; Woroniecki, S.; Seddon, N. Mapping the Effectiveness of Nature-Based Solutions for Climate Change Adaptation. *Global Change Biology* 2020, 26 (11), 6134–6155.
52. Girardin, C. A. J.; Jenkins, S.; Seddon, N.; Allen, M.; Lewis, S. L.; Wheeler, C. E.; Griscom, B. W.; Malhi, Y. Nature-Based Solutions Can Help Cool the Planet – If We Act Now. *Nature* 2021, 593 (7858), 191–194.
53. Nature-Based Solutions to Climate Change Key Messages for Decision Makers in 2020 and Beyond. *Natural Capital Coalition*, 2020.
54. Seddon, N.; Smith, A.; Smith, P.; Key, I.; Chausson, A.; Girardin, C.; House, J.; Srivastava, S.; Turner, B. Getting the Message Right on Nature-based Solutions to Climate Change. *Glob Change Biol* 2021, gcb.15513.
55. European Commission. EU legislation to control F-gases https://ec.europa.eu/clima/policies/f-gas/legislation_en
56. Sustainable Cooling in Support of a Resilient and Climate-Proof Recovery. UNEP Cool Coalition and Climate and Clean Air Coalition, 2021. <https://coolcoalition.org/sustainable-cooling-in-support-of-a-resilient-and-climate-proof-recovery/>
57. Abram, S.; Atkins, E.; Dietzel, A.; Hammond, M.; Jenkins, K.; Kiamba, L.; Kirshner, J.; Kreienkamp, J.; Pegram, T.; Vining, B. *Just Transition: Pathways to Socially Inclusive Decarbonisation*; COP26 Universities Network Briefing; 2020.
58. Reay, D. S. Land Use and Agriculture: Pitfalls and Precautions on the Road to Net Zero. *Front. Clim.* 2020, 2.
59. Donnison, C.; Holland, R. A.; Hastings, A.; Armstrong, L.-M.; Eigenbrod, F.; Taylor, G. Bioenergy with Carbon Capture and Storage (BECCS): Finding the Win-Wins for Energy, Negative Emissions and Ecosystem Services – Size Matters. *GCB Bioenergy* 2020, 12 (8), 586–604.
60. Towards a Robust, Resilient Wellbeing Economy for Scotland: Report of the Advisory Group on Economic Recovery. Scottish Government, 2020. www.gov.scot/publications/towards-robust-resilient-wellbeing-economy-scotland-report-advisory-group-economic-recovery/
61. Clean Skies for Tomorrow: Sustainable Aviation Fuels as a Pathway to Net-Zero Aviation. World Economic Forum, 2020 www3.weforum.org/docs/WEF_Clean_Skies_Tomorrow_SAF_Analytics_2020.pdf
62. Sustainable Aviation Fuels key for the future of air travel. Roland Berger, 2020. www.rolandberger.com/en/Insights/Publications/Sustainable-aviation-fuels-key-for-the-future-of-air-travel.html
63. Kaza, S.; Yao, L. C.; Bhada-Tata, P.; Van Woerden, F. *What a Waste 2.0*; Washington, DC: World Bank, 2018.
64. Completing the Picture: How the Circular Economy Tackles Climate Change. Ellen MacArthur Foundation, 2019. <https://ellenmacarthurfoundation.org/completing-the-picture>
65. Global Waste Management Outlook, UN Environment Programme, 2015. www.unep.org/resources/report/global-waste-management-outlook
66. Wyles, K. J.; Pahl, S.; Thomas, K.; Thompson, R. C. Factors That Can Undermine the Psychological Benefits of Coastal Environments: Exploring the Effect of Tidal State, Presence, and Type of Litter. *Environment and Behavior* 2016, 48 (9), 1095–1126.
67. Towards a Circular Economy: Business Rationale for an Accelerated Transition. Ellen MacArthur Foundation, 2015. www.ellenmacarthurfoundation.org/publications/towards-a-circular-economy-business-rationale-for-an-accelerated-transition
68. Summary for Policymakers. *Global Warming of 1.5C*. IPCC, 2018.
69. García-Freites, S.; Gough, C.; Röder, M. The Greenhouse Gas Removal Potential of Bioenergy with Carbon Capture and Storage (BECCS) to Support the UK's Net-Zero Emission Target. *Biomass and Bioenergy* 2021, 151, 106164.
70. Greenhouse Gas Removal. Royal Society and Royal Academy of Engineering, 2018.

HOW TO CITE THIS PAPER

Ainalis, D., Bardhan, R., Bell, K., Cebon, D., Czerniak, M., Farmer, J. D., Fitzgerald, S., Galkowski, K., Grimshaw, S., Harper, G., Hunt, H., Jennings, N., Kehsav, S., Mackie, E., Maroto-Valer, M., Michalopoulou, E., Reay, D., Seddon, N., Smith, S. M., Smith, T., Simpson, K., Stranks, S. D., Tennyson, E. M., Uekert, T., Vera-Morales, M. and Woodcock, J. (2021). Net-zero Solutions and Research Priorities in the 2020s: *COP26 Universities Network Briefing*.

Sponsored by UK Research and Innovation (UKRI)



THE COP26 UNIVERSITIES NETWORK

This briefing is produced in association with the COP26 Universities Network, a growing group of more than 60 UK-based universities and research centres working together to help deliver an ambitious outcome at the UN Climate Summit in Glasgow and beyond.

The briefing represents the views of its authors (listed on page one) and not necessarily that of every University or institution participating in the network. For more information about the COP26 Universities Network, please contact cop26universities@imperial.ac.uk



